
Care Coordination Programs for Improving Outcomes for High-Need Beneficiaries: What's the Evidence?

Presentation to Commission on Long-Term Care
Public Hearing
July 17th, 2013

Randy Brown

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research, Inc.

Overview

- 1. What does the evidence tell us about likely care coordination effects in fee-for-service Medicare?**
- 2. What can we do to enhance the likelihood of success?**
- 3. What are the major barriers to success?**

The Best Evidence on Effective Care Coordination

- **CBO review of 30+ programs (1/12) found little favorable evidence**
 - Telephonic-only disease management programs didn't work
 - More personal care coordination programs didn't save enough
 - Value-based purchasing yielded little or no savings
- **Other studies show some significant favorable effects—but only for high risk patients**
 - Transitional care (Naylor, Coleman, RED)
 - Medicare Coordinated Care Demonstration—4 sites
 - Care Management Plus model (Dorr; OHSU)
 - Geriatric Resources for Assessment and Care of Elders (GRACE) model (Counsell)
 - Mass. General Hospital high cost program

Estimates from Medicare Care Coordination Demo

| | | Annualized Number of Hospital Admissions, 2002-2008 | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| | Number of High-risk Enrollees (and % of all enrollees) | Control-Group Mean | Treatment-Control Difference | % Difference | P Value |
| Health Quality Partners | 273 (17) | 0.90 | -.30 | -33 | 0.02 |
| Hospice of the Valley | 1,138 (71) | 1.34 | -.16 | -12 | 0.07 |
| Mercy Medical Center | 904 (79) | 1.03 | -.15 | -15 | 0.02 |
| Washington University | 1,975 (71) | 1.64 | -.13 | -8 | 0.10 |
| Combined | 4,290 (60) | 1.38 | -.15 | -11 | 0.001 |

What distinguishes successful interventions?

Care Coordinators:

- 1. Have frequent face-to-face contact with patients (~ 1/month)**
- 2. Build strong rapport with patients' physicians through face-to-face contact at hospital or office**
- 3. Use behavior-change techniques to help patients increase adherence to medications and self-care**
- 4. Know when patients are hospitalized and provide support for transition home**
- 5. Act as a communications hub among providers and between patient and providers**
- 6. Have reliable information about patients' Rx and access to pharmacists or medical director**

Best Approach Varies Across Subpopulations

- Different solutions, based on type of needs
- Need both managed care and fee-for-service models

| Beneficiaries | Managed Care | Fee-for-service |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| In nursing homes | Evercare | INTERACT II |
| In community, using LTSS | PACE, CCA | GRACE |
| Severe chronic illnesses, no LTSS | CareMore | MCCD, Mass. Gen. |
| Less severe chronic illness | ?? | PGP |

How Can We Increase Likelihood of Success?

Whether FFS, shared savings, or managed care solutions are tried:

- **Require key features of successful past programs**
- **Focus effort on high risk patients**
- **Feed back information to programs and physicians**
- **Build in studies of operational issues**
- **Test replicability of proven features in other settings**

Potential Barriers to Success

1. Excessive attention to rapid cycle learning

- Quick answers are often wrong answers
- Takes time to learn, train, adapt, build rapport
- So use intermediate outcomes and build in tests of program implementation issues (Mahoney)
- Don't sacrifice rigor of evidence for speed
- Building on prior successes should shorten time to improvement

2. Lack of political will

- Failure to withstand pressure from special interests will thwart attempt to save—fees/premiums have to be set low enough

3. Lack of information and incentives for providers

- Payments or sharing of savings should focus on high risk patients
- Physicians need data on *quality and efficiency* (own and others)
- Payment to providers should be tied to both factors

Collaborators and Funding

- **Co-authors of papers on which this was based:**
 - Debbie Peikes, Greg Peterson, Jennifer Schore, Arnold Chen
- **Funders**
 - CMS
 - Robert Wood Johnson Foundation HCFO Grant
 - National Coalition for Care Coordination

Key References and Contact Information

- **Key papers on which this presentation is based:**
 - Brown, R, D Peikes, G Peterson, J Schore, and C Razafindrakoto. “Six Features of Medicare Coordinated Care Demonstration Programs that Cut Hospital Admissions of High-Risk Patients.” *Health Affairs* June 2012
 - Peikes, D, A Chen, J Schore, and R Brown. “Effects of Care Coordination on Hospitalization, Quality of Care, and Health Care Expenditures Among Medicare Beneficiaries: 15 Randomized Trials.” *Journal of the American Medical Association*, February 11, 2009
 - Brown, R, A Ghosh, C Schraeder, and P Shelton. “Promising Practices in Acute/Primary Care.” In *Comprehensive Care Coordination for Chronically Ill Adults*, edited by C Schraeder, and P Shelton. Ames, IA: Wiley-Blackwell, 2011.

- **For more information, please contact:**
 - Randy Brown: rbrown@mathematica-mpr.com